

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 169 863

HE 011 250

AUTHOR Dolin, Nancy C.
TITLE Survey of Residence Hall Life at NCSU.
INSTITUTION North Carolina State Univ., Raleigh. Div. of Student Affairs.
PUB DATE Mar 77
NOTE 37p.; Not available in paper copy due to marginal legibility of original document; For related document see HE 011 220

EDRS PRICE MF01 Plus Postage. PC Not Available from EDRS.
DESCRIPTORS College Housing; *College Students; *Dormitories; Higher Education; Institutional Research; *Participant Satisfaction; Recreational Activities; Research Projects; School Activities; School Surveys; *Social Experience; *Staff Role; *State Universities; Student College Relationship; Student Needs; Student Opinion
IDENTIFIERS *North Carolina State University; On Campus Students

ABSTRACT

A 1977 North Carolina State University survey of a sample of on-campus students determined their attitudes toward residence hall activities, facilities, and staff. Information is shown by sex, class, and residence hall, and totals are weighted to reflect actual proportions in each dorm. Among the findings are the following: cookouts, movies, beer blasts, and parties appear to be the most popular social activities in the residence halls; attendance in residence hall educational and cultural activities was very low in comparison to social and recreational activities; a majority of students felt that both senior staff members and resident advisors should be responsible for selected residence hall functions; senior staff members were rated highest for handling administrative aspects and for being a source of information; most students indicated that their advisor carried out the selected functions in a satisfactory manner; more than two-thirds of the students indicated that they had needed assistance from senior staff members; resident advisors appear to be in greater demand to students than are senior staff members and are more available when needed. Student opinions about residence hall facilities are also presented. (SW)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

Survey of

Residence Hall Life

at NCSU

1977

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Thomas
Shafford, Jr.
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) AND
THE ERIC SYSTEM CONTRACTORS"

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

SURVEY OF RESIDENCE HALL LIFE AT NCSU

Nancy C. Dolin

Student Affairs Planning and Research

Division of Student Affairs

North Carolina State University

March, 1977

SURVEY OF RESIDENCE HALL LIFE AT NCSU

INTRODUCTION

The following report summarizes results from a survey given during Spring registration to a sample of on-campus students in an effort to determine their attitudes towards residence hall activities, facilities, and staff at N. C. State. Of the 800 students selected (50 from each dorm) a low 56% returned completed surveys.

Information throughout most of this report is shown by sex, class, and residence hall and totals are weighted to reflect actual proportions in each dorm. Because of the low response rate, comparison by residence hall may be somewhat unreliable.

SUMMARY

PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES IN THE RESIDENCE HALLS

Cookouts, movies, beer blasts, and parties appear to be the most popular social activities in the residence halls with nearly two-thirds of the students having participated during the past year. Residence hall movies were attended by over 80% of the students living in Gold, Lee, and Tucker while the highest attendance rates for cookouts came from Berry, Gold, and Metcalf students. Bowen and Metcalf students were much more active in service projects than those living in other dorms just as females were more active than males and seniors more so than underclassmen. Hall tournaments were most popular in Bagwell, Berry, Gold, and Turlington. See Tables 1 and 3.

Attendance in Residence Hall educational and cultural activities was very low in comparison to social and recreational activities. Speakers drew the largest attendance rates with 40% of the females and seniors attending. Males were less likely than females to attend any of these programs. See Tables 1 and 3.

Many of those who didn't attend these activities during the past year indicated that they would probably attend them in the future. For all activities and programs with the exception of already popular movies, cookouts,

and beerblasts, students who plan to attend in the future more than doubled those who attended during the past year. See Tables 1-4.

RESIDENCE HALL STAFF

When asked whether senior staff members or resident advisors should be responsible for selected residence hall functions, a majority of students felt that both should have these responsibilities. The function most often selected for both resident advisors (94%) and senior staff members (87%) was source of information. Females felt that being a policy-enforcer and disciplinarian should be more the responsibility of the senior staff member than the resident advisor. See Tables 5 and 6.

In rating how well senior staff members carried out selected functions, percentages ranged from 20 to 27% for "very well" and 63 to 69% for "Satisfactory", depending on the function. Although ratings were fairly consistent for each of the selected functions, senior staff members were rated highest for handling administrative aspects and for being a source of information. Depending on the function, 27 to 35% of the students did not feel they could evaluate their senior staff. See Table 7.

In evaluating resident advisors, most students indicated that their advisor carried out the selected functions in a satisfactory manner. Ratings of "very well" ranged from 39% for being a source of information to 25% for policy-enforcer and disciplinarian. For all functions, ratings from female students were higher than those for male students. See Tables 8 and 9.

More than two-thirds of the students indicated that they had needed assistance from senior staff members. Of these students, 27% found their staff member "always" available when needed and another 66% indicated that he/she had been available "sometimes". Surprisingly, fewer freshmen than upper classmen had needed help from senior staff members, but of those who had, 39% found him/her always available. See Table 10.

Figures show that resident advisors are in greater demand to students than are senior staff members and that they are more available when needed. All but 7% of the students indicated a need for assistance from their resident advisor and 43% found him/her always available. Students in Carroll,

Sullivan, Syme, and Welch were most likely to find their resident advisor available when needed. See Table 11.

RESIDENCE HALL FACILITIES

Concerning room furnishings, students definitely do not want completely unfurnished rooms. A slightly higher proportion of males (54%) than females (46%) prefer only bed, desk, chair, and dresser while 51% of the females and 43% of the males would like added accessories such as carpet, curtains, lamps, mirrors, and bookshelves. A majority of students in Bragaw, Lee, Owen, Sullivan, Tucker, and Turlington residence halls indicated a preference for rooms with only bed, desk, chair, and dresser, however, majorities in Bagwell, Becton, Bowen, Syme, and Welch prefer the added accessories. See Table 12.

In regard to hall arrangements, half of the students prefer apartment style with a kitchen, 33% prefer to live in a suite such as Lee or Metcalf, and 17% favor a double room off of a long corridor like Owen or Syme. A higher percentage of females (67%) than males (49%) and large majorities of juniors and seniors selected the apartment style with a kitchen as their preference in hall arrangements. More than half of the students living in Bragaw, Lee, and Sullivan (suite style dorms) favored their own style while the majority of students in most other residence halls preferred an apartment arrangement. See Table 13.

Students do not totally agree on items which they would like to have included in their residence hall rooms. First priority for 38% of the students was air conditioning while 24% would rather have local telephone service included in rent. Bookcases and small refrigerators were selected third and fourth. Air conditioning was top priority for the majority of Bagwell and Lee students and for the largest percentage of students in Alexander, Bowen, Bragaw, Carroll, Metcalf, Sullivan, and Tucker residence halls. The largest percentage of students in Berry, Gold, Turlington, and Welch gave first priority to local telephone service included in rent. See Table 14.

Sixty-two percent of those who responded indicated a preference for two single beds rather than bunk beds in their dorm rooms. This preference was greater for females (65%) than males (53%) and greater for juniors and

seniors (64% and 72% respectively) than for freshmen and sophomores (51%). However, more than half of the students living in Alexander, Bector, Berry, Metcalf, Tucker, and Turlington would choose bunk beds over single beds if they had the choice. See Table 15.

On the topic of coed or single sex residence halls, the largest proportion of females (48%) preferred single-sex halls while 35% favored coed dorms with different sexes on alternating floors. Only 28% of the males preferred each of these arrangements. The remaining males were almost evenly divided between a coed suite-style building with different sexes in alternating suites and a coed hallway-style building with different sexes in alternating rooms. Seniors (46%) show a stronger preference for single-sex residence halls than the other classes who seem to favor coed dorms with different sex on alternating floors about as much as single-sex dorms. Berry, Bowen, Carroll, Turlington, and Welch were most partial to single-sex dorms while large proportions of students in Bagwell, Metcalf, and Sullivan indicated a preference for coed dorms with different sex on alternating floors. See Table 16.

A majority of all students (57%) felt that present visitation hours are just about right, however, 38% felt that hours should be increased. Only 5% of the responding students felt that visitation hours should be decreased. Nearly half of the juniors felt that hours should be increased compared to only 31% of the seniors. See Table 17.

When students were asked whether selected factors would influence them to consider moving out of the residence hall, 52% indicated that obtaining more living space would strongly influence them and another 37% felt this factor would have at least some influence on their moving out. More than half of the seniors compared to only 31% of the freshmen indicated that finding a quieter atmosphere would strongly influence them into moving out of the dorm. Having fewer restrictions was the factor which would least influence the students in this consideration. See Tables 18 and 19.

More than half of the students indicated that better facilities (carpet, lounges, etc.) or air conditioning would be a strong influence to remaining in the residence halls. More kitchenettes would have a stronger influence on females than males while more coed housing options would influence the males more than females to remain in the dorms. More programs and activities would have at least some influence on 73% of the students. See Tables 20 and 21.

TABLE 1

PERCENT WHO ATTENDED THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES DURING THE PAST YEAR

	BY SEX		BY CLASS				WEIGHTED TOTAL
	Male	Female	Fresh	Soph	Junior	Senior	
<u>Social & Recreational</u>							
Movies	64%	60%	61%	72%	52%	65%	64%
Cookouts	70	68	70	76	62	69	68
Beer blasts & parties	68	61	70	66	62	62	64
Trips	18	19	18	21	13	22	18
Coffeehouses	17	23	21	16	20	23	17
Service projects	15	27	14	17	20	29	17
Hall tournaments	32	27	25	34	29	34	25
N =	248	142	137	94	80	79	389
 <u>Educational & Cultural</u>							
Tutorials	18	21	22	17	16	18	18
Speakers	26	40	25	33	30	40	25
Non-credit, interest courses	11	17	16	12	10	15	13
Credit courses taught in halls	5	13	8	11	7	5	5
Special interest programs	18	30	22	23	20	25	18
N =	263	139	133	94	76	69	371

TABLE 2

PERCENT WHO WOULD PROBABLY ATTEND THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES IN THE FUTURE

	BY SEX		BY CLASS				WEIGHTED TOTAL
	Male	Female	Fresh	Soph	Junior	Senior	
<u>Social & Recreational</u>							
Movies	79%	81%	84%	83%	70%	76%	80%
Cookouts	82	81	84	88	70	81	81
Beer blasts & parties	71	71	79	68	63	68	68
Trips	55	57	66	55	49	46	54
Coffeehouses	43	48	47	42	48	43	42
Service projects	45	58	56	47	42	43	46
Hall Tournaments	63	49	67	59	53	44	57
N =	214	136	124	90	69	67	350
 <u>Educational & Cultural</u>							
Tutorials	46	48	62	39	43	30	46
Speakers	52	63	61	52	57	52	52
Non-credit, interest courses	48	56	61	41	49	49	47
Credit courses taught in halls	42	44	49	42	43	32	40
Special interest programs	48	64	65	52	44	47	53
N =	208	128	120	90	68	58	337

TABLE 3

PERCENT WHO ATTENDED THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES DURING THE PAST YEAR

BY RESIDENCE HALL

	Alexander	Bagwell	Becton	Berry	Bowen	Bragaw	Carroll	Gold	Lee	Metcalf	Owen	Sullivan	Syme	Tucker	Turlington	Weich
<u>Social & Recreational</u>																
Movies	58%	67%	60%	74%	52%	54%	29%	82%	84%	62%	41%	79%	48%	81%	75%	56%
Cookouts	69	64	74	91	65	62	38	92	75	84	64	72	61	78	63	72
Beer blasts & parties	65	68	85	77	50	62	30	77	48	92	50	79	54	80	74	67
Trips	5	22	30	33	5	14	0	17	33	33	7	14	20	10	34	10
Coffeehouses	18	39	39	32	14	13	11	18	13	4	15	13	21	14	15	20
Service projects	18	26	19	15	35	5	7	20	9	18	21	9	12	9	23	15
Hall tournaments	26	43	32	41	27	14	25	67	23	14	29	26	24	28	44	14
N =	24	24	19	29	22	23	28	20	23	23	30	25	25	23	28	22
<u>Educational & Cultural</u>																
Tutorials	14	22	33	14	26	5	14	19	18	67	17	13	8	9	15	18
Speakers	32	39	30	69	24	22	36	40	14	41	21	24	11	5	46	46
Non-credit, interest courses	1	14	12	22	5	9	18	18	17	9	4	24	12	5	8	21
Credit courses taught in halls	0	13	11	41	0	0	4	6	0	23	7	4	4	0	4	5
Special interest programs	23	17	30	54	14	9	29	19	14	27	10	17	12	9	35	32
N =	23	25	19	28	20	22	28	18	22	22	28	24	25	22	28	23

TABLE 4

PERCENT WHO WOULD PROBABLY ATTEND THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES IN THE FUTURE

BY RESIDENCE HALL

	Alexander	Bagwell	Becton	Berry	Bowen	Bragaw	Carroll	Gold	Lee	Metcalf	Owen	Sullivan	Syme	Tucker	Turlington	Weich
<u>Social & Recreational</u>																
Movies	71%	85%	81%	79%	68%	59%	68%	87%	100%	92%	79%	77%	67%	95%	76%	88%
Cookouts	74	86	75	96	77	81	63	94	87	100	83	73	76	91	76	76
Beer blasts & parties	70	75	80	79	76	62	45	94	68	91	62	63	52	81	79	73
Trips	37	80	50	73	35	42	37	75	50	92	59	58	47	55	54	50
Coffeehouses	28	55	53	54	45	19	39	56	38	67	46	43	58	35	39	43
Service projects	42	53	29	67	73	29	43	40	36	77	46	46	47	40	45	43
Hall tournaments	57	53	60	52	45	47	41	76	57	82	77	48	50	64	70	43
N =	21	20	15	27	21	17	28	16	22	23	29	25	20	21	24	22
<u>Educational & Cultural</u>																
Tutorials	53	68	82	42	58	42	32	47	37	82	53	52	30	26	30	50
Speakers	57	61	53	88	50	47	48	60	26	70	50	67	45	30	67	75
Non-credit interest courses	62	58	50	62	39	40	36	64	43	70	38	50	57	35	44	77
Credit courses taught in halls	53	37	50	61	47	16	36	53	25	84	37	48	57	30	37	14
Special interest programs	63	50	60	77	55	42	54	60	50	79	43	58	38	45	36	62
N =	19	19	15	25	19	19	28	16	20	23	27	24	21	20	24	20

TABLE 5

PERCENT WHO FEEL THE FOLLOWING FUNCTIONS SHOULD BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SENIOR STAFF MEMBER

	BY SEX		BY CLASS				WEIGHTED TOTAL
	Male	Female	Fresh	Soph	Junior	Senior	
Advisor-counselor	80%	86%	79%	82%	82%	87%	80%
Policy enforcer-disciplinarian	74	85	79	76	76	78	77
Source of information	86	92	86	86	91	90	87
Initiator of activities & programs	79	80	83	72	86	74	79
Mediator/problem solver	79	76	72	76	79	89	75
Handle administrative aspects	77	83	81	73	73	86	78
N =	250	142	136	94	80	82	392

	BY RESIDENCE HALL															
	Alexander	Bagwell	Becton	Berry	Bowen	Bragaw	Carroll	Gold	Lee	Metcalf	Owen	Sullivan	Syme	Tucker	Turlington	Welch
Advisor-counselor	76%	76%	89%	97%	87%	71%	82%	85%	76%	86%	84%	74%	75%	88%	82%	83%
Policy enforcer-disciplin.	71	76	82	87	91	57	86	60	67	77	78	91	70	84	86	73
Source of information	79	92	83	100	91	86	96	100	90	91	81	83	88	93	70	87
Initiator of activities & programs	89	77	84	80	71	80	82	82	70	83	94	83	74	63	58	92
Mediator/problem solver	90	78	65	74	80	73	78	89	71	74	78	64	85	77	60	83
Handle administrative aspects	69	78	79	85	70	67	86	99	83	81	81	78	81	78	71	83
N =	28	24	18	30	21	21	28	19	21	22	32	23	27	26	27	24

TABLE 6

PERCENT WHO FEEL THE FOLLOWING FUNCTIONS SHOULD BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE RESIDENT ADVISOR

	SEX		CLASS				WEIGHTED
	Male	Female	Fresh	Soph	Junior	Senior	TOTAL
Advisor-counselor	79%	83%	81%	85%	73%	81%	80%
Policy enforcer-disciplinarian	78	66	70	76	75	75	75
Source of information	95	95	96	95	91	97	94
Initiator of activities & programs	79	85	84	82	78	80	80
Mediator/problem solver	84	82	85	81	86	82	81
Handle administrative aspects	80	73	76	67	86	81	76
N =	251	143	139	93	80	82	394

	BY RESIDENCE HALL															
	Alexander	Bagwell	Becton	Berry	Bowen	Bragaw	Carroll	Gold	Lee	Metcalf	Owen	Sullivan	Syme	Tucker	Turlington	Welch
Advisor-counselor	83%	83%	89%	77%	86%	73%	83%	74%	75%	92%	74%	83%	92%	80%	65%	78%
Policy enforcer-disciplin.	78	78	80	59	82	77	62	53	71	86	88	71	67	77	73	73
Source of information	100	96	100	100	90	91	90	100	100	92	94	88	100	96	90	96
Initiator of activities & programs	67	86	89	94	82	73	75	82	87	87	81	78	88	69	82	85
Mediator/problem solver	86	82	90	83	81	71	86	82	77	92	78	78	92	80	88	81
Handle administrative aspects	78	86	83	70	78	82	70	100	75	74	81	70	72	73	77	76
N =	27	22	19	29	22	22	28	18	22	24	32	24	25	26	27	26

TABLE 7

HOW WELL HAS THE SENIOR STAFF MEMBER IN YOUR HALL CARRIED OUT EACH OF THE FOLLOWING FUNCTIONS?

	BY SEX		BY CLASS				WEIGHTED
	Male	Female	Fresh	Soph	Junior	Senior	TOTAL
<u>Advisor-counselor</u>							
Very well	24%	29%	21%	24%	34%	27%	21%
Satisfactory	61	56	65	56	52	63	64
Unsatisfactory	15	15	14	20	14	10	15
N =	163	103	80	75	59	52	266
% Don't know	37	30	43	23	29	39	35
<u>Policy enforcer-disciplinarian</u>							
Very well	21	25	16	28	26	19	21
Satisfactory	65	64	73	55	61	70	66
Unsatisfactory	14	11	11	17	14	11	13
N =	190	108	91	78	66	63	298
% Don't know	26	27	35	20	20	26	27
<u>Source of information</u>							
Very well	25	30	27	26	30	24	23
Satisfactory	66	58	63	61	64	68	69
Unsatisfactory	8	12	10	13	6	8	8
N =	191	110	91	82	66	62	301
% Don't know	26	26	35	15	20	27	28
<u>Initiator of activities and programs</u>							
Very well	27	21	22	24	28	26	22
Satisfactory	61	62	64	60	57	66	66
Unsatisfactory	12	17	13	16	15	8	12
N =	187	108	89	80	65	61	295
% Don't know	27	27	36	17	22	27	29
<u>Mediator/problem solver</u>							
Very well	20	26	23	19	26	20	20
Satisfactory	63	59	61	63	54	68	63
Unsatisfactory	17	15	16	19	20	12	17
N =	172	101	77	75	61	60	273
% Don't know	33	32	41	23	26	29	35
<u>Handle administrative aspects</u>							
Very well	26	37	26	29	32	36	27
Satisfactory	65	54	65	61	60	57	64
Unsatisfactory	8	9	8	10	8	7	9
N =	185	105	84	82	63	61	290
% Don't know	27	29	40	15	23	27	30

TABLE 8

HOW WELL HAS THE RESIDENT ADVISOR IN YOUR HALL CAPRIED OUT EACH OF THE FOLLOWING FUNCTIONS?

	BY SEX		BY CLASS				WEIGHTED TOTAL
	Male	Female	Fresh	Soph	Junior	Senior	
<u>Advisor-Counselor</u>							
Very well	31%	46%	38%	36%	29%	42%	34%
Satisfactory	59	49	57	52	59	55	58
Unsatisfactory	9	5	5	13	12	3	8
N =	232	128	133	87	66	74	360
% Don't know	14	17	12	12	23	16	15
<u>Policy enforcer-disciplinarian</u>							
Very well	21	30	27	25	16	26	25
Satisfactory	67	61	65	59	68	67	62
Unsatisfactory	12	8	6	16	16	7	12
N =	262	142	143	95	82	84	404
% Don't know	3	8	6	4	5	6	4
<u>Source of information</u>							
Very well	38	48	46	39	29	48	39
Satisfactory	59	48	53	52	70	48	57
Unsatisfactory	3	4	1	9	1	4	4
N =	259	141	143	95	79	83	400
% Don't know	4	9	6	4	8	7	5
<u>Initiator of activities & programs</u>							
Very well	29	33	31	27	31	32	30
Satisfactory	54	57	57	59	55	49	56
Unsatisfactory	17	10	12	14	14	19	14
N =	238	144	134	93	73	82	382
% Don't know	10	7	9	6	14	7	10
<u>Mediator/problem solver</u>							
Very well	25	36	33	27	23	31	28
Satisfactory	63	55	60	57	64	60	61
Unsatisfactory	12	9	7	16	13	9	11
N =	220	118	116	86	69	67	338
% Don't know	18	23	23	13	20	23	19
<u>Handle administrative aspects</u>							
Very well	30	36	35	22	26	45	30
Satisfactory	64	61	62	69	67	53	64
Unsatisfactory	6	3	3	9	7	1	6
N =	227	122	119	87	70	73	349
% Don't know	15	21	21	12	19	17	17

TABLE 9

HOW WELL HAS THE RESIDENT ADVISOR IN YOUR HALL CARRIED OUT EACH OF THE FOLLOWING FUNCTIONS?

BY RESIDENCE HALL

	Alexander	Bagwell	Becton	Berry	Bowen	Bragaw	Carroll	Gold	Lee	Metcalf	Owen	Sullivan	Syme	Tucker	Turlington	Welch
Advisor-Counselor																
Very well	19%	44%	37%	61%	43%	25%	35%	19%	20%	48%	36%	43%	33%	28%	27%	59%
Satisfactory	69	44	58	32	57	65	65	69	75	43	46	43	58	68	65	41
Unsatisfactory	12	11	5	7	0	10	0	12	5	9	20	14	6	4	3	0
N =	26	18	19	28	23	20	26	16	20	21	25	21	24	25	25	22
% Don't know	10	22	0	15	4	13	13	24	20	19	24	19	17	11	13	15
Policy enforcer-disciplinarian																
Very well	10	29	26	34	29	23	26	10	25	32	25	36	22	11	17	32
Satisfactory	86	62	58	62	58	68	67	79	62	60	66	40	59	78	65	68
Unsatisfactory	3	8	16	3	12	9	7	10	12	8	9	24	19	11	17	0
N =	29	24	19	29	24	22	27	19	24	25	32	25	27	27	29	22
% Don't know	0	0	0	12	0	4	10	9	4	4	3	4	7	4	3	15
Source of information																
Very well	65	41	16	50	38	26	52	29	30	46	28	48	43	41	41	59
Satisfactory	31	55	79	44	62	74	48	67	65	50	65	40	54	59	59	41
Unsatisfactory	4	5	5	6	0	0	0	5	4	4	7	12	4	0	0	0
N =	26	22	19	32	21	23	29	21	23	24	29	25	28	27	29	22
% Don't know	10	8	0	3	12	0	3	0	8	8	12	4	3	4	3	15
Initiator of activities and programs																
Very well	36	22	22	42	21	35	27	13	29	20	21	43	44	16	32	52
Satisfactory	48	52	56	42	71	53	58	67	58	76	57	39	48	72	50	44
Unsatisfactory	16	26	22	16	8	12	15	20	12	4	21	17	8	12	18	4
N =	25	23	18	31	24	17	26	15	24	25	28	23	25	25	28	25
% Don't know	7	4	0	6	0	23	13	25	4	4	15	11	14	7	7	4
Mediator/problem solver																
Very well	20	17	21	37	33	24	36	12	22	25	32	35	47	15	26	52
Satisfactory	70	78	68	50	54	62	59	71	78	60	54	45	47	75	59	43
Unsatisfactory	10	6	11	12	12	14	5	18	0	15	14	20	5	10	15	5
N =	20	18	19	24	24	21	22	17	18	20	28	20	19	20	27	21
% Don't know	29	22	0	27	0	9	27	15	25	23	15	23	34	29	10	19
Handle administrative aspects																
Very well	40	26	27	37	41	24	27	29	26	46	32	35	29	9	32	48
Satisfactory	60	74	67	52	59	67	73	65	68	50	61	55	58	91	64	52
Unsatisfactory	0	0	7	11	0	9	0	6	5	4	7	10	12	0	4	0
N =	20	19	15	27	22	21	22	17	19	24	28	20	24	22	28	21
% Don't know	29	21	17	18	8	8	27	15	24	8	15	23	17	21	7	19

TABLE 10

HAS YOUR SENIOR STAFF MEMBER BEEN AVAILABLE WHEN YOU NEEDED HIM/HER?

	BY SEX		BY CLASS				WEIGHTED TOTAL
	Male	Female	Fresh	Soph	Junior	Senior	
Always	26%	34%	39%	23%	29%	22%	27%
Sometimes	66	61	53	73	65	70	66
Never	7	5	9	4	6	8	7
N =	191	115	93	81	69	63	396
% Have not needed	26	26	36	20	17	27	30

TABLE 11

HAS YOUR RESIDENT ADVISOR BEEN AVAILABLE WHEN YOU NEEDED HIM/HER?

	BY SEX		BY CLASS				WEIGHTED TOTAL
	Male	Female	Fresh	Soph	Junior	Senior	
Always	44%	47%	45%	47%	39%	47%	43%
Sometimes	53	51	51	49	58	51	53
Never	4	2	4	4	3	1	4
N =	253	141	139	98	77	80	394
% Have not needed	4	8	7	3	5	7	7

BY RESIDENCE HALL

	Alexander	Bagwell	Becton	Berry	Bowen	Bragaw	Carroll	Gold	Lee	Metcalf	Owen	Sullivan	Syme	Tucker	Turlington	Welch
Always	50	30	33	41	32	23	55	45	39	46	37	60	67	41	48	62
Sometimes	46	65	67	59	63	73	41	50	61	42	59	36	33	56	52	38
Never	4	4	0	0	5	5	3	5	0	12	3	4	0	4	0	0
N =	28	23	18	32	19	22	29	20	18	21	32	25	24	27	29	24
% Have not needed	3	4	5	3	14	0	3	0	22	8	3	4	8	7	0	8

TABLE 12

IF THE FOLLOWING ROOM ARRANGEMENTS WERE OFFERED, WHICH WOULD YOU SELECT?

	BY SEX		BY CLASS				WEIGHTED TOTAL
	Male	Female	Fresh	Soph	Junior	Senior	
Completely unfurnished	3%	3%	3%	4%	1%	3%	3%
Bed, desk, chair, dresser	54	46	47	55	53	50	53
Added accessories	43	51	50	41	45	47	44
N =	271	158	148	103	88	90	429

BY RESIDENCE HALL

	Alexander	Bagwell	Ecton	Berry	Bowen	Bragaw	Carroll	Gold	Lee	Metcalf	Owen	Sullivan	Syme	Tucker	Turlington	Welch
Completely unfurnished	0%	4%	5%	0%	8%	4%	7%	5%	0%	4%	0%	4%	3%	0%	4%	4%
Bed, desk, chair, dresser	50	42	35	50	38	57	48	45	56	50	69	56	45	54	68	43
Added accessories	50	54	60	50	54	39	45	50	44	46	31	41	52	46	29	54
N =	30	24	20	34	24	23	29	22	25	26	32	27	29	28	28	28

TABLE 13

IF THE FOLLOWING HALL ARRANGEMENTS WERE OFFERED, WHICH WOULD YOU SELECT?

	BY SEX		BY CLASS				WEIGHTED TOTAL
	Male	Female	Fresh	Soph	Junior	Senior	
Suite	21%	15%	25%	22%	19%	5%	33%
Double room off corridor	29	17	21	34	21	25	17
Apartment with kitchen	49	67	54	44	60	70	50
N =	270	160	151	103	89	87	430

BY RESIDENCE HALL

	Alexander	Bagwell	Ecton	Berry	Bowen	Bragaw	Carroll	Gold	Lee	Metcalf	Owen	Sullivan	Syme	Tucker	Turlington	Welch
Suite	0%	4%	0%	0%	21%	52%	27%	5%	69%	35%	9%	57%	11%	14%	7%	0%
Double room off corridor	27	33	50	35	8	0	3	29	0	8	50	0	39	43	36	32
Apartment with kitchen	73	63	50	65	71	48	70	67	31	58	41	43	50	43	57	68
N =	30	24	20	34	24	23	30	21	26	26	32	28	28	28	28	28

TABLE 14

WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING ITEMS WOULD YOU LIKE TO HAVE INCLUDED IN YOUR RESIDENCE HALL?

	BY SEX		BY CLASS				WEIGHTED TOTAL
	Male	Female	Fresh	Soph	Junior	Senior	
<u>FIRST PRIORITY</u>							
Phone included in rent	24%	30%	22%	34%	27%	26%	24%
Bookcases	22	18	16	21	24	25	19
Air conditioning	33	37	39	32	31	33	38
Small refrigerator	20	15	23	13	18	16	18
<u>SECOND PRIORITY</u>							
Phone included in rent	30	23	29	20	32	30	27
Bookcases	21	31	20	36	15	28	23
Air conditioning	24	19	24	19	27	17	24
Small refrigerator	24	27	26	25	25	25	27
N =	254	158	147	100	84	81	412

BY RESIDENCE HALL

	Alexander	Bagwell	Becton	Berry	Bowen	Bragaw	Carroll	Gold	Lee	Metcalf	Owen	Sullivan	Syme	Tucker	Turlington	Welch
<u>FIRST PRIORITY</u>																
Phone included in rent	14%	22%	20%	38%	30%	14%	30%	35%	24%	12%	30%	23%	18%	32%	40%	36%
Bookcases	18	4	30	23	4	24	13	30	16	21	37	15	30	11	20	32
Air conditioning	39	56	15	23	43	33	40	15	56	46	27	38	26	39	28	25
Small refrigerator	29	17	35	15	22	29	17	20	4	21	7	23	26	18	12	7
<u>SECOND PRIORITY</u>																
Phone included in rent	30	17	35	35	30	38	13	40	24	17	23	31	18	25	40	29
Bookcases	26	39	40	32	22	14	27	30	16	29	17	31	21	18	12	25
Air conditioning	26	9	10	15	17	29	30	25	20	33	30	23	29	18	28	14
Small refrigerator	18	35	15	18	30	19	30	5	40	21	30	15	32	39	20	32
N =	28	23	20	34	23	21	30	20	25	24	30	26	28	23	25	28

TABLE 15

WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING DO YOU PREFER?

	BY SEX		BY CLASS				WEIGHTED TOTAL
	Male	Female	Fresh	Soph	Junior	Senior	
Bunk beds	47%	35%	49%	49%	36%	28%	38%
Two single beds	53	65	51	51	64	72	62
N =	282	156	146	102	85	85	418

BY RESIDENCE HALL

	Alexander	Bagwell	Becton	Berry	Bowen	Bragaw	Carroll	Gold	Lee	Metcalf	Owen	Sullivan	Syme	Tucker	Turlington	Welch
Bunk beds	66%	38%	53%	53%	25%	27%	23%	32%	25%	58%	32%	35%	32%	54%	70%	44%
Two single beds	34	62	47	47	75	73	77	68	75	42	68	65	68	46	30	56
N =	29	24	19	34	24	23	30	19	24	28	31	26	28	28	27	27

TABLE 16

WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING ARRANGEMENTS DO YOU PREFER?

	BY SEX		BY CLASS				WEIGHTED TOTAL
	Male	Female	Fresh	Soph	Junior	Senior	
Single-sex hall	28%	48%	32%	35%	34%	46%	27%
Coed-diff. sex on alter. floors	28	35	33	31	34	22	34
Coed-diff. sex in alter. suites	21	8	21	14	14	12	24
Coed hallway - alter. suites	23	9	14	21	18	21	15
N =	263	155	149	101	85	83	418

BY RESIDENCE HALL

	Alexander	Bagwell	Becton	Berry	Bowen	Bragaw	Carroll	Gold	Lee	Metcalf	Owen	Sullivan	Syme	Tucker	Turlington	Welch
Single-sex hall	31%	0%	26%	55%	71%	36%	70%	47%	0%	4%	23%	4%	31%	45%	51%	68%
Coed-diff. sex on alter. floors	38	71	37	27	17	14	17	5	46	58	37	56	8	14	21	20
Coed-diff. sex in alter. suites	3		5	6	8	41	3	26	50	35	7	30	31	14	7	0
Coed hallway - alter. rooms	28	25	32	12	4	9	10	21	4	4	33	11	31	28	21	12
N =	29	24	19	33	24	22	30	19	26	28	30	27	26	29	29	25

TABLE 17
HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT THE VISITATION HOURS?

	BY SEX		BY CLASS				WEIGHTED TOTAL
	Male	Female	Fresh	Soph	Junior	Senior	
Just about right	53%	55%	56%	50%	45%	63%	57%
Should be increased	45	33	39	43	49	31	38
Should be decreased	2	12	5	7	6	6	5
N =	264	159	152	100	84	87	423

	BY RESIDENCE HALL															
	Alexander	Bagwell	Becton	Berry	Bowen	Bragaw	Carroll	Gold	Lee	Metcalf	Owen	Sullivan	Syme	Tucker	Turlington	Welch
Just about right	57%	52%	65%	42%	62%	64%	60%	30%	54%	60%	58%	61%	42%	52%	43%	61%
Should be increased	43	48	30	39	25	36	23	70	38	40	42	36	54	48	46	32
Should be decreased	0	0	5	18	12	0	17	0	8	0	0	4	4	0	11	7
N =	30	23	20	33	24	22	30	20	26	25	31	28	26	29	28	28

TABLE 18

WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING FACTORS WOULD INFLUENCE YOU TO CONSIDER MOVING
OUT OF THE RESIDENCE HALL?

	BY SEX		BY CLASS				WEIGHTED
	Male	Female	Fresh	Soph	Junior	Senior	TOTAL
<u>To find a quieter atmosphere</u>							
Strong Influence	38%	41%	31%	32%	46%	54%	39%
Some Influence	42	38	44	45	39	31	42
No Influence	20	21	24	23	15	15	19
<u>To have fewer restrictions</u>							
Strong Influence	18	16	12	17	21	23	16
Some Influence	32	27	28	31	31	32	31
No Influence	51	56	60	52	48	45	53
<u>To obtain more living space</u>							
Strong Influence	48	68	51	54	62	59	52
Some Influence	41	23	38	32	31	34	37
No Influence	11	9	11	14	7	7	10
<u>To reduce expenses</u>							
Strong Influence	27	24	28	18	28	29	27
Some Influence	21	27	27	25	18	19	24
No Influence	52	49	45	57	54	52	49
<u>Plan to graduate or get married</u>							
Strong Influence	49	56	41	49	56	67	52
Some Influence	18	14	19	18	11	15	17
No Influence	34	30	40	33	33	17	32
N =	265	157	150	101	85	87	423

TABLE 19

WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING FACTORS WOULD INFLUENCE YOU TO CONSIDER MOVING OUT OF THE RESIDENCE HALL?

		BY RESIDENCE HALL															
		Alexander	Bagwell	Becton	Berry	Bowen	Bragaw	Carroll	Gold	Lee	Metcalf	Owen	Sullivan	Syme	Tucker	Turlington	Welch
<u>To find a quieter atmosphere</u>																	
Strong Influence	33%	32%	32%	60%	35%	54%	45%	37%	33%	32%	35%	26%	39%	46%	52%	25%	46%
Some Influence	40	32	30	44	25	32	41	48	52	54	42	50	32	74	50	38	
No Influence	27	36	10	21	21	23	20	19	16	11	32	11	21	14	25	15	
<u>To have fewer restrictions</u>																	
Strong Influence	21	18	15	15	25	27	3	33	4	19	23	14	7	10	18	30	
Some Influence	24	27	25	38	33	27	30	14	37	31	35	25	50	31	29	15	
No Influence	55	55	60	47	42	45	67	52	58	50	42	61	43	59	54	56	
<u>To obtain more living space</u>																	
Strong Influence	50	68	45	65	71	43	67	67	37	69	61	57	39	38	36	74	
Some Influence	47	18	45	23	17	52	23	24	37	27	26	39	57	48	46	18	
No Influence	3	14	10	12	12	4	10	9	25	4	13	4	4	14	18	7	
<u>To reduce expenses</u>																	
Strong Influence	38	27	15	21	29	26	23	19	32	19	16	39	39	17	21	27	
Some Influence	7	14	30	38	12	26	37	14	36	23	19	14	21	21	25	31	
No Influence	55	59	55	41	58	48	40	67	32	58	64	46	39	62	54	42	
<u>Plan to graduate or get married</u>																	
Strong Influence	65	43	35	53	58	52	60	45	54	46	50	54	46	43	52	58	
Some Influence	7	9	25	18	12	14	20	25	12	19	20	21	14	18	18	8	
No Influence	28	48	40	29	29	33	20	30	33	35	30	25	39	39	30	35	
N =	29	22	20	34	24	22	30	21	24	26	31	28	28	29	28	26	

TABLE 20

WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING FACTORS WOULD INFLUENCE YOU TO REMAIN IN THE RESIDENCE HALLS?

	BY SEX		BY CLASS				WEIGHTED
	Male	Female	Fresh	Soph	Junior	Senior	TOTAL
<u>More coed housing options</u>							
Strong Influence	29%	20%	25%	27%	27%	23%	30%
Some Influence	32	17	30	25	25	23	26
No Influence	38	63	44	47	48	53	44
<u>Air conditioning</u>							
Strong Influence	49	49	52	51	49	41	54
Some Influence	33	33	34	35	24	38	30
No Influence	18	18	15	14	27	21	16
<u>Fewer rules and regulations</u>							
Strong Influence	21	25	22	25	23	20	22
Some Influence	36	30	37	36	29	32	35
No Influence	42	44	41	39	47	48	43
<u>Extended visitation hours</u>							
Strong Influence	22	26	21	30	27	18	24
Some Influence	36	27	35	31	34	29	32
No Influence	42	47	44	40	39	54	44
<u>More kitchenettes</u>							
Strong Influence	41	58	48	41	48	52	44
Some Influence	38	29	33	41	31	33	37
No Influence	21	12	19	17	21	15	18
<u>Better facilities</u>							
Strong Influence	53	60	62	53	52	53	55
Some Influence	37	26	27	34	34	39	33
No Influence	10	14	11	13	14	8	11
<u>More programs and activities</u>							
Strong Influence	29	24	30	33	29	14	28
Some Influence	44	47	51	44	38	44	45
No Influence	27	29	19	23	33	42	27
N =	265	154	151	98	85	85	419

TABLE 21

WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING FACTORS WOULD INFLUENCE YOU TO REMAIN IN THE RESIDENCE HALLS?

		BY RESIDENCE HALL															
		Alexander	Bagwell	Becton	Berry	Bowen	Bragaw	Carroll	Gold	Lee	Metcalf	Owen	Sullivan	Syme	Tucker	Turlington	Welch
<u>More coed housing options</u>																	
Strong Influence	0%	24%	15%	30%	21%	39%	3%	24%	46%	42%	32%	30%	39%	21%	36%	12%	
Some Influence	45	38	55	15	17	17	23	38	8	27	29	41	18	41	18	4	
No Influence	55	38	30	55	62	43	73	38	46	31	39	30	43	38	46	84	
<u>Air conditioning</u>																	
Strong Influence	48	64	25	30	75	64	47	29	72	58	45	48	46	48	43	42	
Some Influence	31	32	40	5	8	18	30	43	24	38	32	37	36	34	36	27	
No Influence	21	5	35	15	17	18	23	29	4	4	23	15	18	17	21	31	
<u>Fewer rules & regulations</u>																	
Strong Influence	34	19	5	33	42	27	13	33	29	32	19	15	18	7	14	23	
Some Influence	28	38	30	33	21	27	43	24	29	44	45	33	32	45	46	19	
No Influence	38	43	65	33	37	45	43	43	42	24	35	52	50	48	39	58	
<u>Extended visitation hours</u>																	
Strong Influence	10	19	5	39	33	27	20	25	27	35	23	18	25	21	25	19	
Some Influence	48	14	45	39	21	36	27	30	21	42	42	30	29	34	29	27	
No Influence	41	67	50	21	46	36	53	45	50	23	35	52	46	45	46	54	
<u>More kitchenettes</u>																	
Strong Influence	48	50	45	50	83	41	53	52	37	54	42	37	39	28	32	63	
Some Influence	38	27	40	38	8	41	33	19	29	42	32	52	36	52	39	18	
No Influence	14	23	15	12	8	18	13	19	33	4	26	11	25	21	29	18	
<u>Better facilities</u>																	
Strong Influence	52	71	70	50	71	45	67	71	58	42	45	67	46	41	46	64	
Some Influence	41	24	25	26	17	36	20	24	25	58	32	30	46	45	50	16	
No Influence	7	5	5	23	12	18	13	5	17	0	23	4	7	14	4	20	
<u>More programs & activities</u>																	
Strong Influence	10	38	15	21	29	18	20	52	39	35	35	33	29	26	11	27	
Some Influence	62	48	60	61	46	45	40	33	39	42	32	52	29	48	34	31	
No Influence	28	14	25	18	25	36	40	14	22	23	32	15	43	24	36	42	
N =	29	21	20	33	24	22	30	21	24	26	31	27	28	29	28	26	